



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/932,227	09/17/1997	ERIC T. FOSSEL	S1509.70029US00	5092
23628	7590	11/17/2010	EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/17/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	08/932,227	FOSSEL, ERIC T.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1765

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tani Chen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 November 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1765

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants attorney was contacted on 5-5-10 to determine if the IDS of 5-5-10 had been received by applicants after consideration by the Office and if not whether the French patents cited therein contained the concise explanation required by the Office for foreign language references. On 11-10-10 applicants attorney contacted the examiner and said that no initialed IDS had been received by applicants not was there a concise explanation for the French patents. Applicants attorney was informed that the French patens would therefore not be considered and would be crossed out.